Е. М. Инсарова
1869–70. 7. № 4
85

Р. 24

Сотрудник Palace ассошиэйт.

Инсарова
К. Л.

No 184

To

The Secretary to the Chief Commr
Bangalore

Dated Mysore 18 August 1869

Sir

With a view to introduce a definite system regulating the expenditure for Palace requirements and the conduct of business I beg to solicit the attention of the Chief Commissioner to a few points upon which it appears to me such rules may be necessary. They relate to the mode of conducting correspondence, the appointment and dismissal of servants and the sanction of expenditure.

I beg to premise that the intention of the Government in the appointment of a separate Native Controller being apparently to provide a healthy native management of Palace affairs under the general supervision of the Guardian it may be necessary to secure the efficiency of that management to render it strong and complete in itself and whilst it would be

the following General rules, on the
to take effect from the 1st October next.

(I) No addition to the pers
establishments or alterations in the stipe
of relations shall be made without the
previous sanction of the Chief Commissioner

(II) Other expenditure may under
certain circumstances be incurred, according
to rule, and within Budget limits. If
not provided for in the detailed Estimate
of the year's requirements such expenditure
will require the previous sanction of the Chief
Commissioner only if exceeding 1000 Rupees,
and of the Guardian, if less than that sum,
and exceeding 200 Rupees.

In proposing these formal
rules for the ordinary requirements upon the
several points adverted to, I would beg to
add that each item expended under their
authority will appear as a charge in the
accounts for the month in which the expendi-
ture is made, and that in no case will the
Budget grant be exceeded.

I have &c.

Signed G. B. Malleson
Guardian to H. H. the Maharaj

№ 251

Mysore Chief Commissioners &
Residency Department
Bangalore 4th September 1869.

Copies of the foregoing are forwarded to the Deputy Accountant General of Mysore for information

"By order"
Sd/ J. Clarke
Offg: Secretary

№ 698

Audit & Account Departt
Bangalore 15th June 1870

To

The Secretary to the Chief Comr

Sir

In submitting for the Officiating Chief Commissioner's perusal, a copy of correspondence as per margin, I have the honor to request that I may be furnished with further instructions for the guidance of the Audit department as regards the powers of the Guardian of His Highness the Maharaja in sanctioning the Contingent expenditure of the Palace.

2 According to the interpretation put by Colonel Malleson on the orders contained in para 5 of your letter № 221 dated

* My docket to the Guardian of H.H. the Maharaja № 509 dated 19 May 70.
His reply № 4 of 1st June 1870

that all Contingent Palace charges
Rupees 1000/ in amount even if provided
in the Palace Budget require to be
ted for the Chief Commissioners sanction
this course has I observe been adopted in two
instances which I note in the margin

4. As regards the particular Bill
referred to in my letter to the Guardian, for
"Special gifts on the birthday of the Maharaja"
it is to be observed that the expenditure was
entered in Major Elliot's Estimate of Annual
Palace Expenditure / page 128 of the printed
correspondence / as pointed out by Colonel
Malleson but though approved as far as
it could be at the time (1868) by the Chief
Commissioner that Estimate, can hardly
be regarded as sufficient authority for the
outlay in the present year however necessary
and proper the charge.

5 While I solicit orders for
my guidance I beg to state that my
object is not to suggest a curtailment
of the Guardian's authority over Palace
expenditure but merely to be assured
whether

× Your No. 476 of 8 Febry: 1870 Sanctg 4086 Rs for the purchase of Arab horses (See Govt No 408 para 2)
Your No 16 of 27 May 1870 Sanctg 2081/ for funeral expenses of Kerapa Nunjanani.

the Budget grant (for the heado under which the sums are charged), are not exceeded

2 There seems to be no ground for a difference of opinion as to the intention of this order, and the question on which such difference has occurred the Chief Commissioner understands to be

(1st) If the Guardian is empowered under this order to deal independently with a charge composed of more than one item, each less than Rupees 1,000, but the aggregate of all of which exceeds that amount, and

(2d) If the expenditure sanctioned for the Maharajas Birthday in 1868 should be regarded as a permanent annual charge which is entitled to audit under the original sanction.

3 On the 1st point Colonel Meade considers that the fixed limit of Rupees 1000 must be held to include all items of which a charge of the nature contemplated is composed and that if that limit is likely to be exceeded the Chief Commissioner's sanction should be applied for

4th On the 2d the complet

course would be to order the sum sanctioned in 1865 in each year's Budget Estimate on the special Sanctioned Annual charge for the purpose and that last letter be done for the future the Guardian Corps authorised to spend other similar charges within the limit of Rupees as already stated

5. The Mahargaon Birth day charges for the current year may be passed as sanctioned in 1865

6. I am directed to request you will be good enough to state if this is in the your reference.

I have the honor
Sir
Your Most Obt Servt
Offg Secretary

No 519

Deputy Accountant Genl's Office
Bangalore 21st June 1870

To

The Offg Secretary to the Govt
General Department

Sir,

I am acknowledging receipt
of your letter No 970 of the 18th instant,

I have the honor to state as requested, that the Chief Commissioner's view regarding the extent of the powers authorised to be exercised by the Guardian of H.H.'s Highness the Maharaja by the orders of the 20 August 1869 in regard to Contingent Palace Expenditure appears entirely to concur with my own as expressed in my former letter to you namely that Contingent charges exceeding Rs 1000/ require the sanction of the Chief Commissioner whether provided for in the Budget or not, and whether the component items may be less than Rupees 1000 I beg to suggest that a copy of the correspondence which has taken place may be forwarded to Colonel Malleson from your office to obviate any further doubt on the subject.

I have the honor &c

Sgd/ A Studdon

Depy Accountt Genl

of Mysore

H.E.

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Financial Department, 4th October 1873.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Mysore, To the Officiating Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, General Department, No. 2163, dated Bangalore, 17th September 1873.

I have the honor to submit for the Chief Commissioner's orders the Palace Budget for 1874—75, together with a letter from the Guardian to His Highness the Mahárájá, No. 12, dated 12th September 1873. The grants proposed in excess of those for 1873—74 are as noted in the margin, and regarding them explanations are given in that letter. The net increase proposed is Rupees 11,260.

No.	Grant. 1873—74	Grant. 1874—75
2. Stipends of Musáhibs ...	6,840	8,100
7. Gifts of Money and cloths	23,000	29,000
9. Purchases	20,000	30,000
	49,840	67,100
More in 1874—75		17,260
Deduct decrease in supplies ...		6,000
		11,260

2. With reference to the 2nd para of the Guardian's letter relative to grant No. 2, I beg to state that the present authorized stipends for the Rájbindés amount to Rs. 1,43,838 per annum exceeding the original grant of Rs. 1,37,556, which has been repeated in every year's Budget, by Rs. 6,282. I would suggest that the grant should fully provide for the existing scale, unless indeed lapses are anticipated before the commencement of the year to the full amount of the difference. If the grant should prove to be insufficient, the excess charges will have to be met by appropriations from other grants of the Palace Budget.

3. The Guardian's remarks on items 7 and 9 require the Chief Commissioner's special consideration. The present appears to be a fitting occasion, if the Chief Commissioner considers it necessary, to assign limits to the expenditure against each of these grants, by sub-heads.

From the Guardian to His Highness the Mahárájá of Mysore, To the Deputy Accountant General in Mysore, No. 12, dated 12th September 1873.

I have the honor to forward the Budget estimate for Palace expenditure for the year 1874—75, and also an estimate for the allowances of the Muzaráyi Institutions under Palace management.

2. The present charges on account of the stipends of the Rájbindés and Musáhibs are somewhat higher than the amounts entered in the estimate, but as the excess will be soon made good by lapses I prefer to keep to the amounts originally sanctioned.

3. The provisions under the two items marginally noted have been raised to the sums proposed in previous Budgets for the reasons fully entered into in the correspondence which then took place; and the necessity of these alterations will be further seen from the actuals of 1872—73. Without going into a repetition of what was then urged, I shall only remark that item No. 7 provides for fixed ceremonial charges in which the Palace ladies are interested, and in which no alteration is feasible; the only reduction found practicable in the expenses of the annual sráddham having been already made. I have however made up the increase which has thus become necessary over last year's reduced grant by a corresponding reduction in the daily supplies of provisions.

1. Gifts of money, cloths, &c., on special and festival occasions.
2. Purchases.

4. The necessity of restoring the grant for purchases to what was proposed in previous years need not again be entered into at any length. This item provides for purchases of every description in every department of the Palace, excepting the daily provisions and the ceremonial expenses. It comprises purchases of horses, carriages, and other requisites of the stables, the arms, ammunition and accoutrements of the Military, clothing to other establishments, furniture and utensils to the Palace and its several bungalows; all purchases on account of the Mahárájá and Girls' Schools; cloths and other articles required for the use of the Mahárájá and the ladies; and numerous other similar items. The actual expenditure under this head for the last two years is as noted in the margin, and all the charges incurred have been of an ordinary description. It may not therefore be desirable to provide a lower sum than what is required, and to meet the surplus from an allotment intended only for unforeseen expenses. I trust therefore that this only addition in the aggregate over the reduced grant allowed for last year may be sanctioned. Should there be any difficulty in it I would prefer a corresponding reduction being made in the grant for unforeseen expenses, *viz.*, from Rs. 20,000, to Rs. 10,000, as it is desirable that

For 1871—72, Rs. 32,981.
For 1872—73, Rs. 27,003.

...ment for each ordinary head of expenditure should be sufficient to cover all the charges under it. I ...ve, however that it may be found practicable having regard to the growing demands of the Palace to ...ase the latter grant also at its full amount of Rs. 20,000, subject to the restriction that it is not to ...e drawn upon in any case without the sanction of the Chief Commissioner.

5. I have retained the allowance for repairs and improvements to Palace at the full sum of Rs. 25,000, because though there is no work to be ... considerable expenditure on the Palace itself in ...ance, there is a great deal to be done outside the Palace; amongst others there are the sanitary ...provements of the Fort and the relaying of the pipes from the Kirangi Tank.

6. I have only to add that the classification of the different heads does not seem to me to require any alteration at present, but I have ... added the wording of the description of the items so as to indicate clearly the charges incurred under each section. I have also arranged that the charges for the Elephant Race Cup and all other ... for public or charitable purposes of an ordinary kind should in future be borne by the grant for Item No. 10.

7. The Budget estimate for the ... of Minority Institutions under Palace management requires no explanation. It may be desirable ... the allowances to Nos. 15 and 16, which are now disbursed by the District authorities, should in future be made by the Palace as was originally the case, to prevent confusion or omissions in Public Budgets.

Budget Estimate ... Charges for the year 1874—75

Heads of Charges.	Charges of 1872—73.	Budget Estimate for 1873—74.	Proposed Budget for 1874—75.	Remarks.
1 Civil Establishment ...	2,34,717	2,40,000	2,42,000	
2 Salaries of the Judicial ...	1,02,487	1,31,350	1,31,350	
3 ... of the Public ...	5,515	6,250	5,100	Provision for the full amount originally ... (Item ... Sanction dated 3rd ... in the proceedings ... cancelled by Order, ... No. 131, F., from the Government of India, dated the 16th February 1874.
4 Pay of the Legislative Councillors and the late Maharaja	23,450	35,000	35,000	
5 Supplies of provisions to Palace and Horse and Elephants duties	91,361	1,00,000	91,000	
6 Pay on special and festival occasions	16,122	7,000	12,000	
7 Office Establishment, Establishment of the ...	32,106	35,000	29,000	
8 Allowances to the Heads for charities ...	9,548	12,000	12,000	
9 Purchases	2,479	17,000	25,000	
10 Contributions to Relations and Dependants for their decent and charitable purposes ...	8,158	10,000	12,000	
11 Pensions, Inams and charitable grants ...	12,160	12,160	12,160	
12 Maintenance allowances to Maharaja's Servants	...	12,000	12,000	
13 Contingent charges of the Palace establishments	15,682	6,000	6,000	
14 Grass-cutters and other temporary establishments	3,273	6,000	6,000	
15 Repairs and improvements to Palace and buildings belonging to the Palace ...	27,761	25,000	25,000	
16 Construction of the Maharaja's Chatram ...	18,736	...	...	
17 Do. late Maharaja's Tomb ...	3,429	25,000	25,000	
18 Do. New Palace stables ...	12,705	...	...	
19 Other items not provided for in the Budget	13,650	23,000	20,000	
Total ...	7,17,797	7,11,350	7,23,210	

Palace Budget Allotments only for Establishments

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Financial Department,
16th April 1874.

From the Guardian to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, To the Offg. Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, No. 50, dated 25th March 1874.

With reference to the accompanying copy of a letter from the Deputy Accountant General, dated 18th March 1874, No. 4483, I have the honor to state that the system hitherto acted upon in regard to Palace expenditure was to meet any unavoidable excess under any head from savings in others, and to apply for sanction only when the whole of the Palace Budget was exceeded. I would beg to urge that the practice may be allowed to be continued, in certain instances, at least, in which the charges not being very clearly distinguished are apt to run one into another, and though ordinarily entered in account under separate heads are best viewed in the aggregate in respect of sanction. I do not propose that the system should empower me to entertain without sanction any additional establishment, or to appropriate grants made for repairs and buildings to other ordinary charges. But I beg to urge that I may be allowed as heretofore to treat in the aggregate for purposes of sanction the items marginally * bracketed together.

	Sanctioned Grant for 1873—74.
* Ordinary Palace Supplies ...	1,00,000
Extraordinary Palace supplies	15,000
Money, cloths, &c., on festival days.	23,000
Contingent charges	6,000
Temporary establishments, &c.	6,000
Purchases	20,000
Contributions	10,000

In the first of these instances, though the ordinary and extraordinary supplies and special gifts of cloths, &c., are ordinarily distinguished, they not unfrequently run into one another. It will be convenient, therefore, in respect of Government sanction, to treat the three items together.

The Budget grants for contingent charges and temporary establishments were in the previous years made in the aggregate, and though since separated into two items, the charges in the earlier months of the year were not distinctly kept separate. Hence the small excess referred to by the Deputy Accountant General in one of the items, but there is no excess in the aggregate of the two, and I request that it may be sanctioned accordingly.

Similarly the grants for purchases and contributions have been hitherto treated together, and this course has been especially unavoidable in the current year, in which the grant for purchases was fixed much below the usual amount, and below the sum which has since been sanctioned for the ensuing year. Under the circumstances, the Chief Commissioner was himself prepared for some excess, but I have taken care that the aggregate expenditure, under the two items, should not exceed the sanctioned amount. The small excess referred to by the Deputy Accountant General under this head will be added to by the expenditure in the current month for the usual annual supply of cloths to the Ranis and other members of the Palace ; but, as above observed, the limit of sanction for the two items together will not be exceeded.

No separate provision having been made for the completion of the chatram and the stables in 1873-74, the expenditure on repairs and improvements is likely somewhat to exceed the sanctioned grant. Upon this subject, if necessary, the Chief Commissioner will be addressed again in rendering the accounts for March ; but I may here add that, owing to the little progress made in the construction of the tomb in consequence of the numerous other works on hand, and savings in some other items, there is likely to be a saving of upwards of Rs. 20,000 in the aggregate Palace expenditure, irrespective of the 10,000 rupees. appropriated for the additional allowance to the grandsons, and I have lately suggested to the Deputy Accountant General to avail himself of the same to meet the cost of the house purchased at Bangalore for the Maharaja.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Mysore, To the Guardian to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, No. 4483, dated Bangalore, 18th March 1874.

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the expenditure charged under the head of " Horses, carriages, and miscellaneous purchases" in your accounts up to December 1873, the last received, has exceeded the provision in the Palace Budget for 1873—74 by Rs. 440—12—0 as shewn in the margin, * and to request that the Chief Commissioner's sanction may be obtained for the excess, as well as for expenditure under the same head for the remaining months of the year.

* Budget provision	20,000—0—0
Expended up to 31st December 1873.	20,440—12—0
Excess Rs	440—12—0

2. The same remark applies to the expenditure on account of " Contingent charges of the Palace Departments," only Rs. 77—1—6 having been available out of the provision for that purpose up to 31st December 1873.

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Financial Department,
16th April 1874.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Mysore. To the Offg. Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore. General Department. No. 53, dated Bangalore, 9th April 1874.

In reply to your Memo No. 2, dated 9th instant, I have the honor to state that, according to the rules laid down in the Chief Commissioner's orders No. 23, dated 20th August 1869, the Guardian of His Highness the Maharaja was empowered to sanction items of contingent expenditure not exceeding Rs. 1,000 within Budget limits, and it was pointed out in those orders that while the total grant under the head 5. Palace supplies, could not be exceeded by utilizing savings under other heads of the Palace Budget, there would be no objection to any variation that may be found necessary in the details of expenditure under that head (5).

Papers returned.	5. Palace Supplies.	Rs.
1.	Supplies of provisions to the Palace daily	1,75,000
2.	Do on special occasions	15,000
3.	Gifts of money, cloths &c., on special occasions ...	25,000
4.	Horses carriages &c., from Miscellaneous purchases ...	20,000
5.	Gifts on Marriages and Deaths	10,000
6.	Miscellaneous charities by the Rams	15,000
7.	Contingent charges of Palace Departments	6,000
8.	Grass cutters and other temporary establishments ...	6,000
	Total Rs.	2,72,000

2. This does not, I apprehend, authorize such variations being made without the sanction of the Chief Commissioner to a reappropriation of the grants under the head in question. It appears to me that such sanction should be obtained, as the procedure will enable the Chief Commissioner to exercise, when necessary, a control over the expenditure coming under the sub-grants which are in themselves considerable and amount in aggregate to nearly two lacs of rupees.

No. 11, Fisl., dated Bangalore, 16th April 1874.

Order thereon.—The Chief Commissioner observes that the Guardian states in the above letter, that it has been hitherto customary to meet any unavoidable excess of Palace expenditure under any head from savings in others, and to apply for sanction only when the whole of the Palace Budget was exceeded: and he begs that this practice may be continued, in certain instances, at least, in which the charges not being very clearly distinguished are apt to run one into another, and the sub-ordinarily entered in account under separate heads are best viewed in the aggregate in respect of sanction. Colonel Malleson begs that he may accordingly be allowed as heretofore to treat in the aggregate severally, for purposes of sanction the following groups of sub-grants under 5, Palace supplies, *viz*:—

I. (*a*) Palace supplies, ordinary
(*b*) Do extraordinary
(*c*) Gifts of money and cloths on festival days, &c.
II. (*d*) Contingent charges.
(*e*) Temporary establishment &c.
III. (*f*) Purchases of horses, carriages, &c.
(*g*) Gifts on marriages and contributions &c.

2. The Deputy Accountant General does not question the principle of the Guardian's proposal, as he admits that under the existing orders there is no objection to the adoption of any required variation in the allotment of the several sub-grants under head 5, "Palace supplies," provided the aggregate of that head is not exceeded: but he urges that such variation requires the sanction of the Chief Commissioner, and that it is desirable that it should do so to admit of the exercise of due control by him over the expenditure of these sub-grants which are individually of large amount, and in the aggregate are nearly two lacs of rupees.

3. Under these circumstances, the Chief Commissioner sees no objection to the Guardian's being empowered to deal with the following sub-grants as proposed by him, *viz*:—